PEC rubric, Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty	Score
Department of Spanish, Italian, and Portuguese	(1-10)
Spring 2025 (adopted April 2024)	
Name (reviewee):	
Name (reviewer):	
Scoring Guideline: Excellent: 9-10; Very good: 7-8; Good: 5-6; Fair: 3-4; Poor: 1-2	
N.B. this evaluation covers the year-to-date, but PEC takes a holistic view of your	
research, teaching, and service.	
RESEARCH (Tenured: 50%; tenure-track: 60%)	
Is there evidence of a coherent, long-term or multi-year research agenda, evidence of	
on-going progress during the year of evaluation, or sustained activity within the	
research field as demonstrated by publication in significant venues? Evidence of this	
progress can be manifested through a sampling of the following activities but is not	
limited to this activity. In the case of collaborative work, the committee will take into	
consideration the portions of the work authored by the faculty member.	
Excellent (9-10)	
 Acceptance and/or publication of a monograph in a high-quality, peer reviewed venue 	
 Acceptance or publication of a research article in a top-tier, peer-reviewed journal 	
 Publication of an edition or edited collection (book or journal) (peer reviewed and/or writing intro) 	
 Receipt of a major grant (NEH, Guggenheim, ACLS, etc) 	
Receipt of a major award (article or book publication)	
Very Good (7-8)	
Submission of a book manuscript and/or article to a high quality, peer- reviewed venue.	
 reviewed venue Publication of a chapter in a peer-reviewed book/edited volume 	
Publication of a chapter in a peer-reviewed book/edited volume	
Publication of an article in a journal	
Publication of a book of original creative work (novel, poetry, etc.)	
Publication of a DH project that involves major research questions, cites	
current scholarship, and potentially contributes to public knowledge	
 Publication of a translated book (scholarly or creative) 	

•	Keynote lecture at conference	
•	Guest lecture at a university other than UVa	
	Publication related research area for major networks, journals, or newspapers	
Good (5		
•	Submission of a chapter in a peer-reviewed book/edited volume	
•	Submission of an article to a top peer-reviewed journal	
•	Publication of a short creative work (poem, short story, digital short, etc.)	
•	Publication of a short translated work (scholarly, creative, etc.)	
	Evidence of work on a DH project that involves major research questions, cites current scholarship, and potentially contributes to public knowledge	
•	Conference presentations	
	Receipt of funding to support research (e.g., short-term external fellowships or internal grants)	
•	Media activity related to area of research	
•	Publication of book reviews	
Fair to P	Poor (1-4)	
• 1	No evidence of scholarly agenda or publication record	
	nendations/Comments/ Feedback (both suggestions and positivens) from PEC to the chair:	
	NG (30%)	
Exceller	nt (9-10) Major award for excellence in teaching	
• 1	Major grant for teaching project	
• 1	ntroduction of new course offerings	
• 1	ntroduction of new ways of teaching, explained in the cover letter	

Substantially revised courses, explained in cover letter	
Introduction of course content related to DEI	
Teaching evaluations with scores surpassing departmental means and	
positive student narrative comments (taking into consideration student bias)
Very Good (7-8)	,
Member of dissertation or DMP committees inside or outside SIP (#)	
Positive student evaluations, as expressed in narrative comments and	
numerical assessments above the department mean (taking into	
consideration student bias)	
Good (5-6)	
Involvement in seminars or workshops on improving teaching	
Mentoring beyond regular advising, which may include but is not limited to	
writing letters of recommendation and meeting regularly with students on	
professional issues not related to courses or seminars	
Fair to Poor (1-4)	
 Consistently poor teaching evaluations (taking into consideration student bias) 	
 Consistently low enrollments in relation to undergraduate program class siz 	е
Recommendations/Comments/ Feedback (both suggestions and positive reactions) from PEC to the chair:	
SERVICE (tenured: 20%; tenure-track: 10%)	
Excellent (9-10)	
Holding burdensome administrative positions (e.g. department chair, DUP,	
DLP, DGS, DDEI, etc.) (keeping in mind differences among programs)	
 Chairing major departmental committees (e.g. Search; P&T Undergraduate Curriculum; Graduate Curriculum; DDEI; PEC) 	
Expands the role of a critical department function, explained in the cover let	ter
Important service to the discipline (e.g. editing journals, MLA, ACLS)	
committees, outside review of tenure cases, judge for major grants,	
conference organization, departmental reviews, reading for journals/presse	s)
Community engagement and outreach	
<u> </u>	

Leadership position in service to school or University	
 Direct supervision of graduate students (at any level of program) and/or supervision of (and advising for) DMP project(s) (#) 	
Very Good (7-8)	
Serving on major departmental committees (e.g., Search; P&T Undergraduate	
Curriculum; Graduate Curriculum; DEI; PEC)	<u> </u>
 Undergraduate advising (major, minor, lower division) 	
Language mastery & proficiency exam coordination/direction	
Program assessment coordination/direction	
Performing a major role in department functions, not already compensated by salary, research funds, or course releases	
Service on school or University committee	
Good (5-6)	
Appropriate service to the college and university	
Attendance and productive participation at department meetings	
Normal service to the profession	
Fair to Poor (1-4)	
Uncommonly low service record in the department for rank	
Active refusal to accept any committee service to the department	
Recommendations/Comments/ Feedback (both suggestions and positive	
reactions) from PEC to the chair:	