|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pre-Screening:**  **Viability**  (Proposals below “good” will not be considered further) | Nominee expressed interest and availability; proposed schedule shows evidence of thought (mentions activities, explains why activity is relevant to the speaker and department interests) | Nominee is interested but has not confirmed travel; schedule shows some evidence of thought, with some details missing | Did not contact nominee; schedule shows no evidence of thought or preparation |
| **Round Two Evaluation** | **Excellent (5)** | **Good (3)** | **Not Competitive (1)** |
| **Intellectual Significance**  **(50%)** | Cutting edge research that engages colleagues in multiple areas; novel methods can inspire future work; content and approach expand existing strengths and offer new directions for SIP and UVA. | Research makes a solid contribution to the field in an established area. The methods are field-appropriate, but not generalizable. Content and approach contribute to existing strengths within SIP and UVA. | Research is outdated and/or so specialized that it is not relevant or accessible to scholars outside of the area. Content and approach offer a marginal contribution to SIP and UVA initiatives. |
| **Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Community Partnerships**  **(25%)** | Research area and/or approach diversify the field with new kinds of materials, frameworks, and/or methods; the speaker is an underrepresented scholar in the field; research returns knowledge to communities and/or is public facing. | Meets 1-2 of the 3 areas: research area and/or methods diversify the field; scholarly presence promotes inclusive research; findings address inequity by returning knowledge and/or empowering communities with public humanities scholarship. | Research focuses on a dominant culture with the Hispanophone, Lusophone, and/or Italian-speaking world, focusing on historically (over)represented voices, delivered by a scholar who is not URM and only for the ivory tower. |
| **Collaboration**  **(25%)** | Research area and/or methods engage multiple fields; proposal shows evidence of collaboration across research areas and professional ranks (e.g., Latin American & Italian; grad students & TT faculty; grad students & AGF) | Research area and/or methods are primarily aligned with one field; proposal is co- or multi-authored but by colleagues in the same area (Latin America) and/or rank (2 grad students; 3 AGF; 4 TT) | Research area and/or methods do not cross fields or disciplines; proposal is single authored |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Score and Ranking:**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **Excellent** | **Good** | **Not Competitive** |